



# Response Due: 3-13-03

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/495,715	02/01	/2000	Hideyuki Miyata	1480.1003	5208	
21171	7590	12/13/2002				
STAAS & I	HALSEY LL	.P		EXAMI	NER	
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			FAHMY, SHERIF R			
WASHINGT	ON, DC 200	001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•		2633		
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

MAR 0.3 2003

Technology Center 2600

Hanpo FEB % 4 2003

	OIPE			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	FEB 2 6 2003	09/495,715	MIYATA ET AL.	***
	Office Action Summan	Examiner	Art Unit	
	W TRADE	Sherif R. Fahmy	2633	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat			dress
Period fo	• •			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, leply received by the Office later than three months after the different pattern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nation.  ys. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely  THS from the mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>08 January 2003</i> .		
2a)□	·	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	<del>_</del>	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the app			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5 and 11-23</u>	is/are withdrawn from considera	tion FCEIVED	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		MAR 0 3 2003	
6)⊠	Claim(s) 6,7 and 9 is/are rejected.		WAK 0 3600	
7)⊠	Claim(s) 8 and 10 is/are objected to.		Technology Center 2600	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	•	
• •	on Papers			
′—	The specification is objected to by the E			
10)[🛚 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 200</u>			
_	Applicant may not request that any objecti			
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed or		ilsapproved by the Examin	er.
🗖 .	If approved, corrected drawings are requir	• •		
· —	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
. a)(	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do			
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
а	)   The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional application has b	een received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for			
Attachmen	at(s)			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) . 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT	
I.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re	rademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part	of Paper No. 2

Art Unit: 2633

#### Election of Species

1. In a telephonic interview on Jan. 28, 2003, Attorney Paul I. Kravetz, Reg. No. 35,230, representing applicant, agreed that the claims indicated in "Response to Election/Restriction Requirement" filed Jan. 8, 2003, did not correspond to the elected species. Accordingly, we have agreed that claims 6-10 corresponding to a single species represented in fig. 4 and fig. 6 will be considered in this first action on the merits, not claims 1-12 as had been previously indicated in applicant's response.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Terahara.

Regarding claim 6, Terahara teaches an optical communication apparatus comprising an optical modulating means (16) for modulating input light in accordance with a modulation signal to be transmitted and a regulating means (58) for regulating the intensity of light which is transmitted through an optical transmission line from said optical modulation means (output from 58 on transmission lines. (See fig. 13, fig. 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/495,715

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 9, said regulating means is an optical attenuating means (58) for attenuating the intensity of light exit from an output port of said optical modulating means in accordance with the intensity of light in said optical modulating means.

4. Claim 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nagakubo et al.

Regarding claim 6, Nagakubo teaches an optical communication apparatus comprising an optical modulating means (2) for modulating input light in accordance with a modulation signal to be transmitted and a regulating means (20) for regulating the intensity of light which is transmitted through an optical transmission line from said optical modulation means, (fig. 14).

Regarding claim 7. said regulating means is an optical attenuating means (20), for attenuating intensity of light entered to an input port of said optical modulating means in accordance with the intensity of light in said optical modulating means (1). Transmission occurs over a transmission line inherently, since the invention disclosed in Nagakubo is specifically directed to communication systems that use optical fibers (col. 1- lines 1-35).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/495,715

Art Unit: 2633

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Mita and Roberts are cited for disclosing similar techniques of stabilizing the light

output of an optical modulator using optical attenuating means.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sherif R. Fahmy whose telephone number is 703-305-8088. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-6:00PM(Mo-Th) 8:30AM-5:00PM(2nd & 4th

Fr).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3988 for regular

communications and 703-305-3988 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4800.

**SRF** 

February 5, 2003

LESLIE PASCAL

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4



Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/495,715	MIYATA ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Sherif R. Fahmy	2633				

	TE VENTURE.	Sherif R. Fahmy	2633	
All participants (applicant, applicant's	representative, PT0	) personnel):		
(1) <u>Sherif R. Fahmy</u> .		(3) <u>Mr. Paul I. Kr</u> ave	<u>tz</u> .	
(2)		. (4)		
Date of Interview: 28 January 2003				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ V c)☐ Personal [copy given t	ideo Conference o: 1)∭ applicant	2) applicant's repres	entative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration cond	ucted: d)☐ Yes	e)⊠ No.	RECEIVED	
If Yes, brief description:			MAR 0.3 2003	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6-12</u> .  Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N</u>	1/Δ		Technology Center 26	006
Agreement with respect to the claims	s r) <u>ix</u> s was reacned	ı. g)∐ was not reache	:d, II)[_] IV/A.	
Substance of Interview including des reached, or any other comments: Exto the species indicated in the Responsible Formula in the	<u>aminer and applica</u> onse to Election/Res	nt's representative agree triction Requirement. Acc	<u>d that claims 6-12 do</u> cordingly, it was agree	not correspond
(A fuller description, if necessary, an allowable, if available, must be attact allowable is available, a summary the	ned. Also, where no	copy of the amendment	niner agreed would ren s that would render th	nder the clàims ∉e claims
i)⊠ It is not necessary for ap checked).	oplicant to provide a	separate record of the si	ubstance of the interv	ew(if box is
Uńless the paragraph above has bee MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE action has already been filed, APPLI STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE reverse side or on attached sheet.	OF THE INTERVIE CANT IS GIVEN ON	W. (See MPEP Section NE MONTH FROM THIS	713.04). If a reply to INTERVIEW DATE T	the last Office O FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



**Summary of Record of Interview Requirements** 

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview. or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Technology Center 2600

FEB 2 6 200

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination | MIYATA ET AL. |

Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,900,621	05-1999	Nagakubo et al.	250/205
	В	US-6,268,945	07-2001	Roberts, Kim Byron	359/161
	С	US-6,134,034	10-2000	Terahara, Takafumi	359/124
	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-		1460. 1003 PIK	
	к	US-		- F	
	L	US-		CONTRACTO GREET	
	М	US-		CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N	JP 57004625 A	01-1982	Japan	MITA, AKIRA	H04B 09/00
	0					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	V	
	w	
	x	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

	OIPE			Chart 4		
	FEB 2 6 2003		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	Sheet 1 o		<b>_</b>
FORM PTO-1449	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	1460.1003	APPLICATION NO.	OT .	
	TE TRANSPISCE	PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		<u></u>	<u> </u>	
LIST OF	DEEEDENCES C	CITED BY APPLICANT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		S.C	臺
LISTOF	KEFEKENCES C	TIED BY APPLICANT	Hideyuki MIYATA et al.		<u> </u>	
	(1)	.,	FILING DATE	GROUP ART UNIT	2	畫
	(Use several sheet	s if necessary)	February 1, 2000		36	
			·		20	
		II C DATENT DOOLINES	TO			

 D 4 TE		D 0 0 1	IMFNTS
 DAIF	- NJ I	1 W W 'I	100 I N I N I N

5.6.1 ATEM 5000 MENTO							
*EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB					· · · · ·	
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						

# **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	TRANS YES	SLATION NO
 AG							
AH							
Al							
AJ							
 AK							
AL		-					

OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

SRF	АМ	Patent Abstracts of Japan of Japanese Patent Application Laid-open Publication No. Hei9-61768, May 7, 1997.
' '	AN	
	AO	

**EXAMINER** DATE CONSIDERED

\*EXAMINER: Initial in eference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



COMMISSIONER FOR PATEL UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFF WASHINGTON, DC 20

### Dear Patent and Trademark Office Customer:

It is important to us that you are satisfied with the services we provide. Therefore, Technology Center 2600 would like to take this opportunity to tell you about one of our most successful customer service projects, our Customer Service Office (CSO), and to ask you for your help in expanding this project. Conventionally filed amendments and inquiries are received at the USPTO's central mail room and pass through numerous processing stages before they arrive in Technology Center 2600. Each processing stage adding inherent chances for mishandling and delay. To improve inquiry and amendment handling Technology Center 2600's Customer Service Office was established to directly receive and process faxed amendments and inquiries.

Over the last two years there has been a rapid growth in the volume of these faxes. In the first quarter of FY01, 1300 faxed amendments were received. In the first quarter of FY02, this increased to 1900 faxed amendments, and in the first quarter of FY03, there was an even greater increase to 3000 faxed amendments. Similarly, the number of status inquiries received by our CSO has steadily grown and in the first quarter of FY03, there were 2280 status inquiries. More importantly, as the number of amendments and inquiries has increased, the CSO processing time has decreased. Currently the average amendment processing time from receipt to case entry is well under 4 days. Filing through Technology Center 2600's CSO has proven to be a great improvement over the conventional method for both our customers and us. As an added benefit we can tell you that to date we have not experienced a single instance where an application had to be subsequently processed for the withdrawal of the holding of abandonment based upon a lost amendment submitted through this process. If you are already using the Technology Center 2600 CSO, we thank you. If you are not, please consider using our Customer Service Office and help us expand its success.

## Amendments and Status Inquiries by Fax - 703-872-9314:

For After Final amendments, our goal is to have official faxes matched, entered and delivered to the SPE/examiner within 48 hrs from receipt. For all other official documents our goal is to have official faxes entered within 72 hours of receipt. If we cannot match your fax with the case, we will call you to let you know the status. Please use fax number 703-872-9314 for ALL Technology Center 2600 official communications.

### Status Inquiries by Telephone - 703-306-0377:

The Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office is open to serve you from 8:30am to 5pm EST. Our main telephone number is 703-306-0377. If you need information regarding your application, feel free to give us a call.

In order to assist you quicker, we ask that on the cover sheet, you indicate how many pages you're transmitting and a point of contact in case of any errors that might arise. If your fax machine is properly programmed with your heading and fax number, you will receive an automated receipt confirming reception of your fax. If you prefer to call and confirm receipt of your fax, a point of contact from our customer service office is provided below.

Thank you, Arthur McCloud Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office